



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/863,541	05/22/2001	Therin L. Dastrup	H0001303	8776	
128	7590 - 07/07/2004		EXAMINER		
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.			CORSAR	CORSARO, NICK	
101 COLUMBIA ROAD P O BOX 2245		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			2684	5	
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/863,541	DASTRUP ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MANUAL DATE (III	Nick Corsaro	2684				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ma	ay 2001.	•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4</u> .	6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "CHANNEL SELECTION IN AIRCRAFT COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM BY DETERMINING ZONE LOAD AND SELECTING ALTERNATE CARRIER".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-15, 17, 21, and 22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ward et al. (6,282,417) in view of Hogg et al. (6,430,412).

Consider claims 1, 9, 13, and 17, Ward discloses a method of aircraft communications (see abstract lines 1-10). Ward discloses identifying current service volume (see col. 8 lines 35-67). Ward discloses identifying an available VHF communication channel frequency from a table of preferred VHF frequencies associated with said current service volume (see col. 8 lines 57-67, and col. 9 lines 39-58). Ward discloses selecting a preferred communication frequency characteristic from a table of characteristics associated with said current service volume and according to said available VHF communication channel frequency and effecting airborne communications utilizing said preferred communication characteristic (see col. 10 lines 11-62).

Art Unit: 2684

Ward does not specifically disclose a frequency attribute. Hogg teaches a frequency attribute (see col. 3 lines 14-55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Ward, and select an attribute, as taught by Hogg, thus allowing the minimization of problems with the channel, as discussed by Hogg (col. 3 lines 1-12).

Consider claims 2, 3, and 4, Ward discloses the service volumes define regions (see col. 6 lines 45-67).

Consider claims 6, 7, 10-12, 15, and 22, Ward discloses selecting the communication characteristic of VHF (see col. 10 lines 23-62). Ward does not specifically disclose a frequency attribute of HF. Hogg teaches a frequency attribute of HF (see col. 3 lines 14-55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Ward, and select an attribute of HF, as taught by Hogg, thus allowing the minimization of problems with the channel, as discussed by Hogg (col. 3 lines 1-12).

Consider claims 8, 14, 21, Ward discloses position (see col.8 lines 34-67).

3. Claims 5, 16, 18, 19, 20, and 23-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ward in view of Hogg as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kocin et al. (6,721,559).

Consider claim 5, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23-26, Ward and Hogg discloses several communications selection to assure communications including several types of communication systems inherently including those communications systems used by other network providers, as

Art Unit: 2684

discussed above, however do specifically disclose air to air links. Kocin teaches air-to-air links (see col. 2 lines 1-10, and col. 4 lines 10-42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Ward and Hogg, and have air-to-air, as taught by Kocin, thus allowing continued communications with adaptable demands, as discussed by Kocin (col. 2 lines 34-50).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

(6,408,180), McKenna discloses a communications system.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Nick Corsaro at telephone number (703) 306-5616.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung, can be reached at (703) 308-7745. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth, Floor (Receptionist). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Art Unit: 2684

Nick Corsaro

Primary Examiner

NICK CORSARO PATENT EXAMINER